



OFFICE OF PROCUREMENT SERVICES  
315 WEST MAIN STREET, SUITE 416  
PO BOX 7800  
TAVARES FL 32778-7800

PHONE: (352) 343-9839  
FAX: 352) 343-9473

www.lakegovernment.com

### **ADDENDUM NO. 1**

**Date: June 24, 2010**

**BID / RFP No. 10-0216**

### **Construction Manager At Risk, Continuing Contract**

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the list of those attending the pre-proposal conference, and to summarize the information/clarification provided during the course of the meeting. The attendee lists are attached, and the summarized questions/answers are set forth below. Please note that there is no change to the Request for Proposals, and the due date remains as originally stated. **Respondents shall acknowledge receipt of this addendum by completing this form and returning it with the response. Failure to acknowledge this addendum may preclude consideration of the bid proposal award.**

Question 1: Is there a local preference? How will non-local firms be evaluated as potential primes?

Answer: There is no local preference for the initial CM award. But note that evaluation factor 1.3.2 involves familiarity with the specific project and site and will be a significant evaluation factor, and that the "bid solicitations" section of RFP Section 3 Statement of Work requires a specified level of local vendor participation in the CM's eventual bidding efforts.

Question 2: Are there vendors under contract for similar services at this time?

Answer: No. This is the first round of contracts for Construction Manager on-call. This approach has been initiated to reflect reductions in County budgets and staffing and to ensure timely and effective award and administration under future construction projects. The County is currently satisfied with its specific contract Construction Management efforts to date.

Question 3: Are there architects currently under on-call continuing contracts?

Answer: Yes

Question 4: What type of bonding capacity evidence is required?

Answer: The County is simply looking for evidence of adequate bonding support. A general statement from the bonding company expressing a total bonding capacity for the responding vendor would be considered acceptable for evaluation purposes.

Question 5: What is the anticipated volume of work? What type of project activity is anticipated?

Answer: Most work will be renovation and expansion of existing facilities. All project effort

will be below the CCNA maximum (\$2 million) for continuing contracts. Six to eight projects are currently being considered with examples being expansion of the Animal Control Center and renovation of the Leesburg Health Center

Question 6: What are we looking for completion of the blanks for prompt payment terms?

Answer: Please state the vendor's standard payment terms such as.net 1% ten days/net 30

Question 7: Must the specific form and format of the vendor's response exactly match the forms and verbiage stated in the RFP?

Answer: The solicitation is an RFP and so allows for some flexibility in response. Actual reference letters can be added and actual forms can be adjusted to enable vendors to provide their complete response.

\

Firm Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Typed/Printed Name: \_\_\_\_\_

*"Earning Community Confidence Through Excellence in Service"*

DISTRICT ONE  
JENNIFER HILL

DISTRICT TWO  
ELAINE RENICK

DISTRICT THREE  
JIMMY CONNER

DISTRICT FOUR  
LINDA STEWART

DISTRICT FIVE  
WELTON G. CADWELL