



# LAKE COUNTY FLORIDA

OFFICE OF PROCUREMENT SERVICES  
315 WEST MAIN STREET, SUITE 416  
PO BOX 7800  
TAVARES FL 32778-7800

PHONE: (352) 343-9839  
FAX: 352) 343-9473

[www.lakegovernment.com](http://www.lakegovernment.com)

## ADDENDUM NO. 2

**Date: March 022, 2012**

**Request For Proposal 12-0423**

### LAKE COUNTY JUDICIAL CENTER TELECOMMUNICATION SYSTEM

It is the vendor's responsibility to ensure their receipt of all addenda, and to clearly acknowledge all addenda within their initial bid or proposal response. Acknowledgement may be confirmed either by inclusion of a signed copy of this addendum with the initial bid or proposal response, or by completion and return of the addendum acknowledgement section of the solicitation. Failure to acknowledge each addendum may prevent the bid or proposal from being considered for award.

This addendum \_\_\_ does X does not change the date for receipt of bids or proposals.

Question 1): Changes with the UM8700 VM system that took place in January 2012 have changed the default number of licenses for the UM and PA features. Today the UNIVERGE UM8700 base port licenses that are shipped are five (5) Unified Messaging (UM) and five (5) Personal Assistant (PA) licenses. The ITB shows the older number of base licenses which was 50 UM and 50 PA licenses. (Bid Doc: 1027-0301024 1 UM8700 - 24 Ports / 50 UM / 50 PA). Does the county want 50 UM and 50 PA licenses included or do they only want the 5 UM and 5 PA that are shipping today in the base configuration? If 50 licenses are required it will also change the quantity of the five year SWA on the UM8700 VM. . (Bid Doc: 1027000081)

**Answer 1): Part number 1027-0301024 is correct, however the description has changed from the older number of base licenses which was 50 Unified Messaging (UM) and 50 Personal Assistant (PA) licenses to (5) Unified Messaging (UM) and five (5) Personal Assistant (PA) licenses. Nothing else has changed including any other part.**

Question 2): The bid document requests more SW12 TSW than are needed to meet the configuration. (Bid Doc: 8522001 6 SPH-SW12 TSW-UA) Only four (4) are needed in the configuration). Does the county want a total of six 8522001 included?

**Answer 2): The total within the ITB is correct for the County's needs.**

Question 3): The bid document requests more PLO than are needed to meet the configuration. (Bid Doc: 8522023 3 PH-CK20 PLO) Only two (2) are needed in the configuration). Does the county want three 8522023 included?

**Answer 3): The total within the ITB is correct for the County's needs.**

Question 4): The bid document requests a five year SWA on all items but the quantity for the CCDesign (Bid Doc: 0222299081) does not indicate the correct qty. Should this be adjusted to meet the five year SWA?

**Answer 4): See Addendum # 1**

Question 5): The bid calls for 500 designation strips and only 200 terminals. Does the county want 500 designation strips?

**Answer 5): The total on the ITB is correct for the County's needs.**

Question 6): Page 13 of ITB 12-0423 states that Lake County will handle all programming for the SV8500. Please clarify that the installer will install the SV8500 in the rack but the county will do all the programming so project manager will not be required to database and program.

**Answer 6): Correct.**

Question 7): Will other vendor's questions and answers be published to all bidders?

**Answer 7): Yes**

Firm Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Typed/Printed Name: \_\_\_\_\_