



# LAKE COUNTY FLORIDA

OFFICE OF PROCUREMENT SERVICES  
315 WEST MAIN STREET, SUITE 441  
PO BOX 7800  
TAVARES FL 32778-7800

PHONE: (352) 343-9839  
FAX: (352) 343-9473

[www.lakegovernment.com](http://www.lakegovernment.com)

## **ADDENDUM NO. 1**

**Date: March 20, 2013**

### **Request for Proposal (RFP) No. 13-0313, Disposal of Class I Waste**

It is the vendor's responsibility to ensure their receipt of all addenda, and to clearly acknowledge all addenda within their initial bid or proposal response. Acknowledgement may be confirmed either by inclusion of a signed copy of this addendum with the initial bid or proposal response, or by completion and return of the addendum acknowledgement section of the solicitation. Failure to acknowledge each addendum may prevent the bid or proposal from being considered for award.

**This addendum does not change the date, time, or location for receipt of bids or proposals.**

The purpose of this addendum is to provide confirming information to all potential responding vendors to the cited Request for Proposals. The information provided herein summarizes the pre-proposal meeting held on March 14, 2013, and various questions from vendors with County responses thereto. A list of pre-proposal meeting attendees has been posted to the .County website.

The pre-proposal meeting commenced with an overview of the RFP itself. Specific attention was drawn to the following contents of the solicitation:

- Proposal response date and time
- The detailed overview of services and requirements stated in RFP provision 1.2
- The fact that a complementary RFP was in process for collection services
- The fact that the RFP stated no minimum guarantee of volume
- The inclusion of a standard disposal agreement within the RFP
- The evaluation criteria stated in the RFP
- The need for all communications to flow thru the assigned contracting officer
- The bonding requirements stated in Section 1.8 of the RFP
- The proposal response requirements stated in RFP provision 1.10, and the need for provision of technical and price proposals in the format and manner stated in the RFP
- The statement of the "County's Exclusive Rights" expressed in RFP provision 1.16

- The reciprocal preference provision included as RFP provision 4.8
- An overview of the pricing tables included as attachment9 to the RFP

The questions listed below arose prior to, during the course of, or subsequent to the pre-proposal meeting. Responses to each inquiry are provided immediately following the inquiry:

**Question 1:** Do the volumes and quantities stated in the RFP reflect the changes in collection process whereby all yard waste is to be taken to, and processed at, the County's central landfill?

**Answer 1:** Yes

**Question 2:** Could I get copies of your current disposal contracts?

**Answer 2:** The current waste-to-energy contract with Covanta is at the following web address:

[http://www.lakecountyfl.gov/pdfs/Procurement\\_Services/term-supply\\_contracts/05-COVANTA.pdf](http://www.lakecountyfl.gov/pdfs/Procurement_Services/term-supply_contracts/05-COVANTA.pdf)

**Question 3:** Can the County provide historical volumes by type of waste?

**Answer 3:** For the entirety of unincorporated Lake County, MSW is estimated at 5,750 tons per month, recyclables are estimated 490 tons per month, and yard waste is estimated 800 tons per month. The estimated quantity for yard waste is based solely on a percentage of the MSW stream as yard waste is currently being comingled with the MSW under the County's current disposal contract.

**Question 4:** Is it possible that the County will make multiple awards under the RFP?

**Answer 4:** Yes

**Question 5:** In regards to the pricing table at attachment 9, is it possible to better define the stated estimated quantities on a per zone basis? How can a vendor specify preferred zones?

**Answer 5:** It is presumed that the source of waste brought to the vendor has little or no impact on operations or pricing. The quantities stated in attachment 9 reflect capability to provide pricing on an economy of scale basis in the event a disposal vendor is awarded disposal responsibility for waste originating from one, two, or three zones.

**Question 6:** How will the County determine how many zones will be serviced by each or any disposal vendor?

**Answer 6:** Best interests of the County based on lowest overall pricing.

**Question 7:** A vendor asked about the more rigorous surety requirements stated in RFP provision 1.8.2.

**Answer 7:** The vendor was requested to review related Section 14 of the Agreement in detail and advise of any remaining specific concern(s).

**Question 8:** Are any or either of the three disposal options stated in the RFP (WTE, Landfill, or Transfer Station) more preferred than another?

**Answer 8:** No

**Question 9:** Does the County own a transfer station?

**Answer 9:** No

**Question 10:** What is the end date of the WTE contract?

**Answer109:** June 30<sup>th</sup>, 2014

**Question 11:** How much space is available at the County landfill for Class I waste?

**Answer 11:** 4.6 acres in phase 3 (anticipated to be adequate space for 2-3 years), and another 19.2 acre cell (anticipated to be adequate space for 15-17 years). The cubic yard capacity of the 19.2 acre cell is 1.4 million cubic yards. That cell is constructed, permitted, and ready for use. It is further confirmed that the liner used in the 19.2 acre cell is engineered specifically for MSW.

**Question 12:** What is the County's preference in regards to recycling?

**Answer 12:** The County anticipates that its full operational recycling function will be absorbed by vendor services upon implementation of the new collection and disposal agreements. The County intends to shut down its current MRF recycling operation at the time the new contracts take effect.

**Question 13:** Is leasing of the current County MRF infrastructure an option?

**Answer 13:** No

**Question 14:** How does the County complete the recycling process now?

**Answer 14:** All recycling is taken to the County landfill and processed/re-sold from that location.

**Question 15:** Will the County cease collecting ash from Covanta at the time the current contract ends?

**Answer 15:** Yes

**Question 16:** Does the County really intend for use of the 19.2 acre cell for disposal of MSW?

**Answer 16:** That option will be considered and may be selected if the overall cost of collection and disposal services is most favorable under that scenario.

**NOTE FOR INFORMATION: Vendors are advised that an addendum 1 is being issued under RFP 13-0303 for Collection of Residential Waste concurrently with this addendum.**

The following section is to confirm that the vendor is aware of the content of this addendum:

Firm Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Typed/Printed Name: \_\_\_\_\_