ADDENDUM NO. 2

Date: June 18, 2019

Request for Statement of Qualifications (RSQ) 19-0923

Lake Ella Road Safety Design

It is the vendor’s responsibility to ensure their receipt of all addenda, and to clearly acknowledge all addenda within their initial bid or proposal response. Acknowledgement may be confirmed either by inclusion of a signed copy of this addendum with their response by completion and/or return of the addendum acknowledgement section of the solicitation. Failure to acknowledge each addendum may prevent the bid or proposal from being considered for award.

This addendum DOES change the date for receipt of bids. The revised date for receipt of bids is now June 28, 2019 not later than 3:00 PM (Eastern Time).

Questions/Answers:

Q1. The project calls for new shoulders, but the existing pavement has alligator cracking across the travel lanes is in fair to poor condition base on field reviews and needs to be resurfaced. But the scope of work does not call for milling & resurfacing. The existing reverse curves do not have any pavement super elevation resulting in vehicle runoff accidents. Is there any reason why the existing pavement within the limits is not recommended to be resurfaced? Also, as you can see the existing pavement marking contradict the safety report and are in poor condition.

A1. FDOT will not be funding resurfacing for this project. We may end up doing that with County funds. If that happens all we will need is a note covering resurfacing on the plans. We will determine that during the design phase with the consultant.

Q2. The scope of services does not identify where the areas of constrained right-of-way are? Can you supply limits of the constrained right-of-way?

A2. The Right-of-Way information can be found in the traffic study in Appendix B. A link to the safety study is provided below.

ftp://ftp.co.lake.fl.us/Public_Works/Engineering/Lake_Ella_Safety_Study_FDOT_LAP/

Q3. What safety improvements are required from NE 90th to Micro Racetrack Road? Also, what happens to the shoulders after the last set of reverse curves to the UA441 intersection? Do the shoulders
Q3. All safety improvements recommended can be found in the traffic study in Section 7 on page 17 and in Appendix J.

Q4. Does the County have any existing plans of Lake Ella between the project limits?
A4. Aerials of the roadway can be found in the traffic study in Appendix A. The County does not have any other existing roadway plans.

Q5. Who will be required to relocate the existing mailboxes?
A5. Mailbox relocation will be determined during the design phase where necessary.

Q6. Can we get a sample of winning proposal for a similar project as a go by?
A6. Use the link below to access an awarded proposal for a similar project.


Q7. Team Composition Form, Attachment 7 – does top box only need to list the prime people on the project or all the subconsultants (surveyors, Geotech, public involvement, etc.) people as well? And then the bottom box only list work tasks and subconsultants company name? Also, where on the attachment form do we state similar projects per requirements in Section 2?
A7. The top box is for the Prime and the bottom box is for subconsultants. Similar projects information should be addressed using Attachment 8.

Q8. Is the Similar Experience Form, Attachment 8 only to be completed by the Prime staff and not Subconsultants?
A8. Attachment 8 Similar Projects Form is primarily to be filled-out by the Prime, but if a subconsultant will play a vital role in the project, they can also be listed.

Q9. Are there any page limitations on Tabs A-D?
A9. The count and format do not address the section tabs. However, keep responses as short as possible while still providing your total qualifications.
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