

## Public Participation Tour II Survey Response Totals and Comments

August 2, 2005: Lake Technical Center Kenneth Bragg Center, Planning Zone 8

Total Number of Meeting Attendees: 53

Total Number of Survey Respondents: 34

1. Have you visited the Planning Horizon 2025 website?

YES: 18 NO: 16

2. Did you participate in the Comprehensive Plan online survey about quality of life issues?

YES: 8 NO: 26

3. Do you plan to attend future public hearings about the comprehensive plan?

YES: 33 NO: 0 MAYBE: 1

4. Was your top quality of life issue regarding the Comprehensive Plan addressed tonight?

YES: 17 NO: 9 NO RESPONSE: 6 PARTIALLY: 2

4b. If not, what is your number 1 quality of life issue? (Several respondents who answered yes or partially to this question also provided additional comments).

Clear direct planning for growth in commercial and residential, and tell what that is.

Uncontrolled growth is diminishing agriculture land and production.

Uncontrolled growth.

Jobs.

Maintain low-density housing and village status of Yalaha. Drainage in the springs.

Fire rescue and Police in the outlying areas.

Roads and slow growth but don't stop it all.

Will Lake County/State offer incentives to builders and homeowners to meet the quality of life issues?

Taxes: Property and Sales.

Rural maintenance, transportation, and conservation of water supply.

Slower growth, less density in rural areas.

BCC must stick to the rules and work with the communities.

Quiet: Growth = Noise and Traffic.

Community planning (overall encompassing program).

Cultural centers inside Lake County.

Green Space preservation and protection of rural areas.

Specifics on how we can preserve the distinctive community of Yalaha.

5. What are your thoughts about the Lake County Comprehensive Plan process?

There are not enough details available to review.

In theory, it works to get public participation. Public is not informed well enough to make sound judgments.

There is a need to protect green areas. I am a horse breeder, and I think there are too many developers at these meetings.  
Good, but saw a lot of developer types; more than residents.  
Rushed. Staff has performed unbelievably considering the imposed time constraints.  
It seems vague.  
Hard to form a final opinion on the generalities, but process seems to be progressing in a positive direction.  
Not sure yet.  
It seems they have no plan, but there is a lot of talk.  
I would like to know how much power for change and addressing issues this has.  
With no or slow growth it sounds like taxes will go up to pay for green spaces, schools, etc.  
Good presentation and a knowledgeable staff.  
I think the approach is excellent.  
Schools, law enforcement, fire, and available roads before development is approved.  
Needs more direct public involvement.  
It's a good start if the politicians don't carve it all up.  
It is a difficult process to manage, but needed. You can never please everyone.  
Components are being handled out of context. Puzzle pieces for one puzzle, but unassembled.  
If services are available and a JPA in place, very  
Very involved and not clear.  
Not clear. Is it meant to confuse? If so, it is doing it.  
It's long and you'll never make everyone understand.  
You're doing a good job!  
Appreciate the job you are doing!  
Good, hard work done by the panel. More public participation; more than 1% of the population should be included.  
The Comprehensive Planning staff has made great strides this year in gathering data and crafting the document thus far.  
Seems like the Plan is in place, but we need leadership to have pride and vision in how the Comprehensive Plan is implemented.

## 6. Additional Comments:

There are still too many unanswered questions and gray areas.  
by the time you have public meetings you should have direct, pointed answers for what you know are the hot button issues.  
We need plans for the people who want low density as a way of life.  
Development plans need to be made for people that WANT low density/rural communities.  
Economic development is an after thought in Future Land Use Plan. Very pleased that the staff agreed to meet now to discuss employment centers.  
Don't forget improvements to old subdivisions as you plan the new ones. Example: storm drainage in the springs, Yalaha.  
Economic growth will only be fulfilled by a more positive and streamlined permitting and inspection process.  
I don't want my property used for green space. Highest and best use is for housing.  
Would like to see revised Comprehensive Plan before it is final.  
Right now Planning and Zoning has little information on building progress when asked (or proposed uses). Will this change?

Will any aspect of Plan deal with access to healthcare, including the growth of uninsured and elderly?

Is work starting on LDR changes to match the Comprehensive Plan?

Thank you.

A design for a community or communities with all aspects firing together simultaneously.

What impact on timeliness issues is the Comprehensive Plan going to change?

If the cities can do what they want , what good is this plan to protect the average citizen?

How much authority does a village have? How do you get in or out of a village?

Great job addressing citizen's questions and comments; weren't afraid to admit not thinking of an idea--but willing to research it.





